Universality of critical magnetic field in holographic superconductor

D. Momeni[1] and R. Myrzakulov[1]

[1]*Eurasian International Center for Theoretical Physics and Department of General & Theoretical Physics, Eurasian National University, Astana 010008, Kazakhstan*

(Dated: February 12, 2015)

In this letter we study aspects of the holographic superconductors analytically in the presence of a constant external magnetic field. We show that the critical temperature and critical magnetic field can be calculated at nonzero temperature. We detect the Meissner effect in such superconductors. A universal relation between black hole mass M and critical magnetic field H_c is proposed as $\frac{H_c}{M^{2/3}} \leq 0.687365$. We discuss some aspects of phase transition in terms of black hole entropy and the Bekenstein's entropy to energy upper bound.

PACS numbers: 74.62.Bf; 74.25.Ha;11.25.Tq; 44.05.+e

arXiv:1401.3658v3 [hep-th] 11 Feb 2015

High temperature superconductors are phases of the matter which are quite conductive at temperature close to $T \sim 138^o K$. It was proven that such superconductors could be described using a gauge / gravity duality (for a quick review see [1]). The gauge/gravity mechanism is based on a one-to-one correspondence between the exact black hole solutions of a gravitational model on a Riemannian curved manifold of spacetime with a negative cosmological constant and a conformal quantum theory (in flat space-time)[2]. In quantum picture, the quantum objects are relevant quantum operators and the physical quantities are totally renormalizable.

All these quantum objects live on a flat spacetime (boundary). If we assume that the blackhole horizon is thermal at temperature T_{BH} and the CFT has an associated nonzero temperature T_{CFT}, then it is possible to consider two systems in thermodynamic equilibrium. It means that $T_{BH} = T_{CFT}$. This duality backs to the Maldacena's conjecture in string theory as follows:

Maldacena conjecture [3]: Type IIB of superstring theory in bulk with $AdS_5 \times S_5$ geometry is dual, to a specific gauge theory, $N = 4, SU(N_c)$ super-Yang-Mills theory (SYM) in four dimensions.

Another alternative name for this conjecture is Anti-de Sitter/conformal field theory (AdS/CFT) . It is proven that this conjecture plays an important role to describe the high temperature superconductor (for earlier works see [4]-[9]). Following the basic logic of gauge/gravity, we need to have a one -to- one correspondence between each dynamical quantity of the superconductor (temperature and condensation of the order parameter) and a set of the parameters for black hole. Depending on the speciefic type of condensation,the different types of holographic superconductors were considered by several authors [10]-[43]. In the high temperature material the superconductivity is a function of the critical value of magnetic field and the subject was studied in several papers [44]-[58]. The Meissner effect was investigated by analytical and numerical methods while the external magnetic field was made some important effects on the basic parameters of superconductor [45]-[48].

In this paper we investigate analytically the effect of a constant external magnetic field on the critical temperature of a type s superconductor. Holographic superconductors with constant external magnetic field quite were studied by the other authors. Especially in [49], a hairy numerical solution was found for any value of external magnetic field H in range $0 \leq H \leq H_{max}$, where the $H_{max} = 0.687365$. The significant observation in the value of H was that the critical temperature T_c in presence of a magnetic field vanishes when H approaches the critical value $H_c = H_{max}$.

In this work we revisit analytically the basic properties of such hairy black hole solutions. We will treat the equations of motion as a system of coupled nonlinear differential equations which can be reduced to an eigenvalue-eigenfunction problem near the criticality $T = T_c$. We show that it is possible to find semi-exact solutions for equations approximately near the critical point. Using these semi-exact solutions we obtain critical temperature and maximum of external magnetic field. The appearance of conformal dimensions and uniqueness of the expectation values of the dual CFT operators are verified. We observe that for a typical mass of the black hole (M), there is an upper bound for the external magnetic field and the size of thermal horizon (in critical point) . Consequently we show that this maximum size of horizon leads to a maximum value of the critical temperature. We compute the difference of specific heat between normal and superconducting phases we investigate the stability issue. We prove that such superconductors remain stable. In order to make a holographic model for a high temperature superconductor we need to introduce an appropriate gravitational sector that is written in the "GOD given" units as follows: [5]):

$$\mathcal{L}_g = R - \frac{6}{L^2} - \frac{1}{4}\mathcal{F}_{\mu\nu}\mathcal{F}^{\mu\nu}. \tag{1}$$

Where R is the Ricci scalar, L is AdS length, A_μ is gauge field and $F_{\mu\nu} = \partial_\mu A_\nu - \partial_\nu A_\mu$. If only one external constant magnetic field H is present, the non zero components of the $F_{\mu\nu}$ tensor are given by $F_{xy} = \frac{H}{r^2}$.

We consider an imaginary sphere with radius r. We get the total energy density stored in it$F_{\mu\nu}F^{\mu\nu} \sim H^2$. The gravitational field solution is a magnetized Schwarzschild Anti-de Sitter (SAdS) black hole in planar coordinates (t, r, x, y)[50] :

$$ds^2 = -f(r)dt^2 + \frac{dr^2}{f(r)} + r^2(dx^2 + dy^2), \quad (2)$$
$$f(r) \equiv f = r^2 - \frac{M}{r} + \frac{H^2}{r^2}.$$

The black hole has a horizon which is real solution of the equation $f(r_+) = 0$. The function $f \equiv f(r)$ can be rewritten as follows:

$$f = r^2 - \frac{r_+^3}{r} - \frac{H^2}{rr_+} + \frac{H^2}{r^2}. \quad (3)$$

The temperature of the black hole is defined by:

$$T = \frac{f'(r_+)}{4\pi} = \frac{r_+(3-h^2)}{4\pi} \quad (4)$$

We define the dimensionless magnetic field as $h^2 = \frac{H^2}{r_+^4}$ and now we have built fully gravitational sector. For matter sector we use a Lagrangian similar to Ginzburg-Landau (GL) which it includs a Maxwell field $A = A_\mu$ and a charged complex scalar field ψ [51, 52]:

$$\mathcal{L}_m = -\frac{1}{q}\Big(\frac{1}{4}F^{ab}F_{ab} + m^2|\psi|^2 + |\nabla\psi - iA\psi|^2\Big). \quad (5)$$

Note that the (5) is different from the original GL theory, firstly, the mass term is negative $m_\psi^2 < 0$ secondly, there is no potential term $|\psi|^4$ here. For stabilization we need an AdS bulk geometry. Our guess is that a physical mechanism like Higgs mechanism launched in the region outside of the horizon[51]. Due to the symmetry of the metric and gauge freedom we set $A_t = \phi(r)$, $\psi^*(r) = \psi(r)$. So,the equations of motion may be recast to the following forms :

$$g^{\mu\nu}(\nabla_\mu - iA_\mu)(\nabla_\nu - iA_\nu)\psi - m^2\psi = 0. \quad (6)$$
$$\nabla_\mu F^{\mu\nu} = i(\psi^*\nabla^\nu\psi - \psi\nabla^\nu\psi^*) + |\psi|^2A^\nu. \quad (7)$$

The equations of motion are written as follows in case of metric (2) :

$$\psi'' + (\frac{f'}{f} + \frac{2}{r})\psi' + \frac{\phi^2}{f^2}\psi - \frac{m^2}{f}\psi = 0,$$
$$\phi'' + \frac{2}{r}\phi' - \frac{2\psi^2}{f}\phi = 0 \quad (8)$$

We put $L = 1$ and note that the mass of a scalar field is $m^2 > m_{BF}^2 = -\frac{9}{4}$.

It is convenient to rewrite the equations in terms of dimensionless coordinate $z = \frac{r_+}{r}$

$$\psi''(z) + \frac{f'}{f}\psi'(z) + \frac{r_+^2}{z^4}\Big(\frac{\phi(z)^2}{f(z)^2} - \frac{m^2}{f(z)}\Big)\psi(z) = 0, \quad (9)$$
$$\phi''(z) + \frac{m^2r_+^2\psi(z)^2}{z^4f(z)}\phi(z) = 0, \quad (10)$$

Now the metric function is $f \equiv f(z) = r_+^2(z^{-2} - h^2z - z + h^2z^2)$.

We would like to find the solutions of nonlinear equations (9,10) . For $\{\phi, \psi\}$, Eqs. (9,10) can be solved as:

$$\phi(z) = \phi^0(z) - m^2r_+^2\int_0^z \frac{(z-w)\psi^2(w)\phi(w)dw}{w^4f(w)} \quad (11)$$
$$\psi(z) = \psi^+(z) + \psi^-(z) \quad (12)$$
$$+\Big(-\psi^+(z)\int\frac{\psi^-(z)p(z)}{W(\psi^+(z),\psi^-(z))dz}$$
$$+\psi^-(z)\int\frac{\psi^+(z)p(z)}{W(\psi^+(z),\psi^-(z))dz}\Big).$$

In which :

$$\frac{d^2}{dz^2}\{\phi^0(z)\} = 0, \{\frac{d^2}{dz^2} + \frac{f'}{f}\frac{d}{dz} - \frac{m^2r_+^2}{z^4f(z)}\}\psi^\pm(z) = 0, \quad (13)$$
$$p(z) = -\frac{r_+^2\psi(z)}{z^4}\frac{\phi(z)^2}{f(z)^2}, \quad (14)$$
$$W(\psi^+,\psi^-) = \psi^+(\psi^-)' - \psi^-(\psi^+)'. \quad (15)$$

The AdS/CFT mechanism is focused on the behavior of the fields at the AdS boundary, i.e. $z = 0$, when $f \sim r_+^2z^{-2}$, we are able to approximate solutions to (9,10) :

$$\phi^0(z) = c_0 + c_1z, \quad \psi^\pm(z) = c_\pm z^{\Delta_\pm}. \quad (16)$$

here the conformal dimension is $\Delta_\pm = \frac{3}{2} \pm \sqrt{m^2 + \frac{9}{4}}$. At this precise expression, $c_\pm = \frac{<\mathcal{O}_\pm>}{r_+^{\Delta_\pm}}$. We conclude that precise form of the Wronskian is:

$$W(\psi^+(z),\psi^-(z)) = -\Big(2c_+c_-\sqrt{m^2 + \frac{9}{4}}\Big)z^2. \quad (17)$$

We need to estimate the following pair of integrals:

$$I_1 = \int_0^z \frac{(z-w)\psi^2(w)\phi(w)dw}{w^4f(w)}, I_\pm = \int\frac{\psi^\pm(z)p(z)dz}{W(\psi^+(z),\psi^-(z))} \quad (18)$$

following the above information we know that:

$$p(z)|_{z=0} \sim -r_+^{-2}c_\pm z^{\Delta_\pm}c_0^2.$$

Thus we have:

$$I_\pm = A_\pm \times z^{2\pm2\sqrt{m^2+\frac{9}{4}}}. \quad (19)$$

The most common technique for obtaining precise information about the form of solutions within an interval $z \in [0, 1]$ is to evaluate $\psi_{z=0}$:

$$\psi = c_+z^{\Delta_+} + c_-z^{\Delta_-} + \Big(c_-A_+ \times z^{\frac{7}{2}+\sqrt{m^2+\frac{9}{4}}} \quad (20)$$
$$-c_+A_- \times z^{\frac{7}{2}-\sqrt{m^2+\frac{9}{4}}}\Big).$$

May integral I_1 can be adequately approximated by an additive integration whose output is a sum over several

smaller dimensional expressions:

$$I_1 = \int^z \frac{(z-w)\psi^2(w)\phi(w)dw}{w^4 f(w)} = \tag{21}$$
$$z\{\frac{\psi^2(w)\phi(w)}{w^4 f(w)}\}|_{z=\epsilon} - \{w\frac{\psi^2(w)\phi(w)}{w^4 f(w)}\}_{w=\epsilon}, \quad \epsilon \sim 0.$$

We placed the three expressions individually and was finally able to write I_1:

$$I_1 = \alpha_0 z + \beta_0. \tag{22}$$

In which $\alpha_0 = \frac{(c_\pm)^2 c_0 \epsilon^{2\Delta_\pm - 2}}{r_+^2}, \beta_0 = \frac{(c_\pm)^2 c_0 \epsilon^{2\Delta_\pm - 1}}{r_+^2}$. By collecting results from the past, the solution gives shape to the forms of fields in vicinity of AdS boundary :

$$\phi(z) = \mu - \frac{\rho}{r_+} z, \quad \psi(z) = \frac{< \mathcal{O}_+ >}{r_+^{\Delta_+}} z^{\Delta_+}$$

Moreover, our attempts to establish the form of solutions in the vicinity of the AdS boundary was also fruitless.

The quantization of the relevant operators has been investigated and in some cases a very good agreement with CFT results was found $\mathcal{O}_- = 0 \Rightarrow c_- = 0$. Here $\mathcal{O}_+$ is an operator of dimension Δ_+ in dual field theory and $< \mathcal{O}_+ >$ corresponds to the vacuum expectation value of it. We will introduce a set of the boundary conditions [51, 52]:

$$\phi(1) = 0, \quad (h^2 - 3)\psi'(1) = m^2 \psi(1). \tag{23}$$

Best advice is to use continuously and to always expand the fields somewhere in the AdS boundary and horizon [54]. Conventional computing method only provide facilities for exact matching, a more adaptive approach is required in order to support numerical aggregation. Both fields smoothly across a surface with location $z = z_m \in [0, 1]$ [55]-[58]. We conclude that precise evaluation of expectation values of relevant operators is required for proper integration of actin into counters:

$$\frac{< \mathcal{O}_\pm >}{r_+^{\Delta_\pm}} = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \oint_\gamma \frac{\psi(t)dt}{t^{\Delta_\pm + 1}} \tag{24}$$

The vacuum expectation values of relevant operators are shown on the boundary, but no bulk term. At finite temperature we have $h \neq \sqrt{3}$. The analytical solutions in the AdS sector, in the vicinity of $z = 0$, computed temporally as follows:

$$\phi(z) = a\Big[(1-z) - \frac{m^2 r_+ b^2}{8\pi T}(1-z)^2\Big], \tag{25}$$

$$\psi(z) = b\Big[1 - \frac{m^2}{h^2-3}(1-z) \tag{26}$$
$$+ \frac{(1-z)^2}{2}\Big(- \frac{a^2}{32\pi^2 T^2} + \frac{3m^2(1-h^2)}{(h^2-3)^2}\Big)\Big]$$

here $a = -\phi'(1), b = \psi(1)$. The process by which one obtains coefficents from equations of motion in the presence of boundaries $z = 0, z = 1$ is called matching method:

$$a(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{32}\frac{m^2 r_+ b^2}{\pi T}) = \mu - \frac{\rho}{2r_+}, \tag{27}$$

$$b\Big(1 - \frac{1}{2}\frac{m^2}{h^2-3} - \frac{1}{256}\frac{a^2}{\pi^2 T^2} + \frac{3}{8}\frac{m^2(1-h^2)^2}{(h^2-3)^2)}\Big) \tag{28}$$
$$= \frac{< \mathcal{O}_+ > (\frac{1}{2})^\Delta}{{r_+}^\Delta}.$$

$$a\Big(- 1 + \frac{1}{8}\frac{m^2 r_+ b^2}{\pi T}\Big) = -\frac{\rho}{r_+}, \tag{29}$$

$$b\Big(\frac{m^2}{h^2-3} + \frac{1}{64}\frac{a^2}{\pi^2 T^2} - \frac{3}{2}\frac{m^2(1-h^2)^2}{(h^2-3)^2}\Big) = \tag{30}$$
$$\frac{2\Delta < \mathcal{O}_+ > (\frac{1}{2})^\Delta}{{r_+}^\Delta}.$$

For the comparison of analytical with numerical result [61], relative value $m^2 = -2$ were used. This system (27,29) gives us:

$$a = 4\mu - \frac{3\rho}{r_+}, \quad b^2 = \frac{8\pi T}{m^2}\Big[\frac{1}{r_+} - \frac{\rho}{a r_+^2}\Big] \tag{31}$$
$$\Rightarrow a r_{+c} = \rho \Leftrightarrow < \mathcal{O}_+ > |_{r_+ \to r_{+c}} = 0$$

According to the definition of dual quantities, we know that:

$$r_{+c} = \frac{\rho}{\mu} \Rightarrow r_{+c}^4 - M r_{+c} + H_c^2 = 0.$$

In critical mode, the definition of critical temperature is given, followed by the abbreviation in parentheses:

$$T_c = \frac{3r_{+c}^4 - H_c^2}{4\pi r_{+c}^3} \tag{32}$$

Equally, it is clear that the elimination of r_{+c} in T_c will lead to :

$$256\, {T_c}^4 \pi^4 {H_c}^4 + 96\, {T_c}^2 \pi^2 M^2 {H_c}^2 - 27\, M^4 \tag{33}$$
$$+ 64\, {T_c}^3 \pi^3 M^3 + 256\, {H_c}^6 = 0.$$

$T_c(H)$ and H_c in (32) or (34) are defined as a critical point in the presence of external magnetic field and critical magnetic field that turns the superconducting state into a normal state below the $T_{c0} = \frac{4\pi r_{+c0}}{3}$ (with no magnetic field). The maximum of magnetic field H_{Max} is obtained at the minimum critical temperature $T_c(H) = 0$, hence to obtain the maximum magnetic field H_{Max}, we simply let the temperature in (34) vanish and obtain:

$$\frac{H_{Max}}{M^{2/3}} = \sqrt[6]{\frac{27}{256}} = 0.687365. \tag{34}$$

which is exactly the numerical result of [49].

We analyze the pair (H_c, T_c) carefully. First when there is no magnetic field $H = 0$, horizon size tends towards zero $r_+ = 0$. It is also worth remembering that there was famous the entropy of black hole which became simple in our case:

$$S = \frac{\pi r_+^2}{l_p^2}$$

We know $S \geq 0$. At normal phase, where there is no entropy , this time external magnetic field disappears altogether. Thus from S we see that the entropy change of a system during for a normal process is zero. An example of its success is in determining the normal phase of a holographic superconductor. Things tend to move toward disorder we think its called second order phase transition from normal to superconducting phase. For enough large external magnetic field, equilibration occurs initially at large values of H_c and proceeds away from the Bekenstein's entropy bound $\frac{S}{E} < 2\pi R$ [59]. We showed how gravitational entropy can be defined in general for holographic superconductor. Does the critical system tend toward the maximum of entropy production and maximum H_c?. The answer is yes. If it becomes more disordered(superconducting), its entropy and H_c increase. External magnetic field H_c maximum property derived from Bekenstein's entropy bound principle. We listed H_{Max}, r_{cMax} for different values of masses in the following Table:

Mass (M)	0.7	0.5	0.3
H_{Max}	$\simeq 0.3$	$\simeq 0.4$	$\simeq 0.5$
r_c^{Max}	$\in [0.6, 0.7]$	$\in [0.7, 0.8]$	$\in [0.8, 0.9]$

The adjacency (H_c, T_c) of this magnetized superconductor initially located at the top of the T_c then describes the result of the critical magnetization. This behavior clearly displays higher levels of H_c in holographic rather than the mass of the black hole in each case. This analysis shows how the mass M builds to a critical magnetic field H_c, then rapidly falls. No direct stress is applied against the initial external magnetic field H_c. This result provides data on the occurrence of critical magnetic field H_c in holographic superconductor from 0 to H_c^{Max}. You may increase or decrease the the critical magnetic field H_c simply by decreasing or extending the the critical temperature T_c, or by increasing or decreasing the amount of starter H_c to the H_c^{Max}. The results obtained were consistent and showed a strong dependence on the the critical magnetic field H_c. The results of H_c using analytical method were consistent with the observations of the figure II of [60, 61]. With the increase in M and H_c the amount of T^{Max} increases.

The following table indicates the variability of $(M, H_c^{Max}, T_c^{Max})$.

Mass (M)	0.3	0.5	0.7
H_{Max}	0.3	$\simeq 0.42$	$\simeq 0.55$
T_c^{Max}	$\simeq 0.16$	$\simeq 0.18$	$\simeq 0.21$

To complete the discussion of the thermodynamic point of view, we refer to the Rutgers formula. This formula indicates the discontinuity in specific heat and it shows the difference between specific heat of pure metals at the critical temperature in the superconducting and normal phase[62, 63]:

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta C &= C_{\text{superconducting}} - C_{normal} \\ &= \mu_0 T\Big(H_c(T)\frac{d^2 H_c(T)}{dT^2} + \Big[\frac{dH_c(T)}{dT}\Big]^2\Big).\end{aligned} \tag{35}$$

At the critical temperature T_{c0} that is the critical point in the absence of external magnetic field, and $H_c(T_{c0}) = 0$, therefore, the first term in the Rutgers formula (36) vanishes and becomes the form of :

$$\Delta C = \mu_0 T\Big[\frac{dH_c(T)}{dT}\Big]^2. \tag{36}$$

From the Rutgers formula (36) at the critical point, one can apparently observe that, ΔC must be positive. It is adequate to study the system after an infinitesimal deviation from the Universal bound, when $H_c = M^{2/3}u - \delta H_c$ we obtain:

$$\delta(\Delta C) = \Delta C|_{H_c = M^{2/3}u} - \Delta C|_{H_c = M^{2/3}u - \delta H_c} > 0 \tag{37}$$

So the system is stable under this bound. We note that the phase transition was studied here is type I to type II. The reason is that under the magnetic field H_c there is Meissner effect. When the magnetic field H becomes stronger, we will observe the quantized vortices. The results show a rapid increase in H_c in the superconducting phase and the more H increase through the the normal phase system. Finally we note that the case of $T = 0$ which is equal to $h = \sqrt{3}$ in reference [61] were studied and here is not checked. Holographic superconductors are gravitational analogy of high temperature superconductors in condensed matter physics. Fundamental properties of this class of superconductors can be simulated to the properties of a black hole in the gravitational sector in this model. In this paper we reviewed the effect of a constant external magnetic field on a superconductor type s were. We showed that the matching method can give a critical magnetic field and critical temperature in non-zero temperature. The study of the behavior of the critical temperature and critical magnetic field has shown that with increasing critical magnetic field the critical temperature decreases and approaches to zero at a maximum magnetic field. We have also shown that the superconducting state is consistent with the existence of a thermodynamic state with maximal entropy. Universal relation between the magnetic field for H_c and the mass of black hole M is as follow $\frac{H_c}{M^{2/3}} \leq \sqrt[6]{\frac{27}{256}} = 0.687365$.

We thank the referee(s) for useful comments.

[1] Horowitz G T and Polchinski J, 2006 In *Oriti, D. (ed.): Approaches to quantum gravity* 169-186 [gr-qc/0602037]
[2] Witten E, 1998 Adv. Theor. Math. Phys. **2**, 253
[3] Maldacena J, 1999 Adv. Theor. Math. Phys. **2**, 231[Int. J. Theor. Phys. **38**, 1113 (1999)]
[4] Gubser S S,2008 Phys. Rev. D **78**, 065034
[5] Hartnoll S A , Herzog C P , and Horowitz G T ,2008 Phys. Rev. Lett. **101**, 031601
[6] Hartnoll S A,2009 Class. Quant. Grav. **26**, 224002
[7] Herzog C P,2009 J. Phys. A **42**, 343001
[8] Horowitz G T,2010 arXiv: 1002.1722 [hep-th]
[9] Hartnoll S A, Herzog C P and Horowitz G T ,2008 J. High Energy Phys. **12**, 015 [arXiv:0810.1563]
[10] Cai R -G , Li L, Li L -F and Yang R -Q ,2014 JHEP **1404**, 016 [arXiv:1401.3974 [gr-qc]]
[11] Cai R -G , Li L and Li L -F ,2014 JHEP **1401**, 032 [arXiv:1309.4877 [hep-th]]
[12] Momeni D , Majd N and Myrzakulov R ,2012 Europhys. Lett. **97**, 61001 [arXiv:1204.1246 [hep-th]]
[13] Roychowdhury D ,2013 JHEP **1305**, 162 [arXiv:1304.6171 [hep-th]]
[14] Cai R -G , Li L , Li L -F and Su R -K ,2013 JHEP **1306** 063 [arXiv:1303.4828 [hep-th]]
[15] Arias R E and Landea I S ,2013 JHEP **1301** 157 [arXiv:1210.6823 [hep-th]]
[16] Gangopadhyay S and Roychowdhury D ,2012 JHEP **1208** 104 [arXiv:1207.5605 [hep-th]]
[17] Chen S , Pan Q and Jing J , 2013 Commun. Theor. Phys. 60, **471** [arXiv:1206.5462 [gr-qc]]
[18] Cai R -G , He S , Li L and Zhang Y -L ,2012 JHEP **1207** 027 [arXiv:1204.5962 [hep-th]]
[19] Kuang X -M , Li W -J and Ling Y ,2012 Class. Quant. Grav. **29** 085015 [arXiv:1106.0784 [hep-th]]
[20] Murray J M and Tesanovic Z ,2011 Phys. Rev. D **83** 126011 [arXiv:1103.3232 [hep-th]]
[21] Cai R -G , Nie Z -Y and Zhang H -Q ,2011 Phys. Rev. D **83** 066013 [arXiv:1012.5559 [hep-th]]
[22] Momeni D , Myrzakulov R and Raza M ,2013 Int. J. Mod. Phys. A **28**, 1350096 [arXiv:1307.8348 [hep-th]]
[23] Zeng H -B , Sun W -M and Zong H -S ,2011 Phys. Rev. D **83**, 046010 [arXiv:1010.5039 [hep-th]]
[24] Cai R -G , Nie Z -Y and Zhang H -Q ,2010 Phys. Rev. D **82**, 066007 [arXiv:1007.3321 [hep-th]]
[25] Zeng H -B , Fan Z -Y and Zong H -S , 2010 Phys. Rev. D **81**, 106001 [arXiv:0912.4928 [hep-th]]
[26] Chen J -W , Kao Y -J , Maity D , Wen W -Y and Yeh C -P , 2010 Phys. Rev. D **81** 106008 [arXiv:1003.2991 [hep-th]]
[27] Zeng H -B , Fan Z -Y and Zong H -S ,2010 Phys. Rev. D **82** 126008 [arXiv:1007.4151 [hep-th]]
[28] . Chen S-B,Pa Q-Y,Jing J-L,2012 Chinese Physics B,,21(4):040403
[29] Gao D ,2012 Phys. Lett. A **376** 1705 [arXiv:1112.2422 [hep-th]]
[30] Ge X -H , Tu S F and Wang B ,2012 JHEP **1209** 088 [arXiv:1209.4272 [hep-th]]
[31] Krikun A ,2013 arXiv:1312.1588 [hep-th]
[32] Nishida M ,2014 arXiv:1403.6070 [hep-th]
[33] Li L -F , Cai R -G , Li L and Wang Y -Q ,2014 arXiv:1405.0382 [hep-th]
[34] Momeni D , Raza M and Myrzakulov R ,2014 Eur. Phys. J. Plus **129**, 30 [arXiv:1307.2497 [hep-th]]
[35] Momeni D , Raza M , Setare M R and Myrzakulov R ,2013 Int. J. Theor. Phys. **52**, 2773 [arXiv:1305.5163 [physics.gen-ph]]
[36] Momeni D , Raza M and Myrzakulov R , 2013 J. Grav. **2013**, 782512 [arXiv:1305.3541 [physics.gen-ph]]
[37] Momeni D , Myrzakulov R , Sebastiani L and Setare M R ,2012 arXiv:1210.7965 [hep-th]
[38] Momeni D , Setare M R and Myrzakulov R , 2012 Int. J. Mod. Phys. A **27**, 1250128 [arXiv:1209.3104 [physics.gen-ph]]
[39] Setare M R and Momeni D ,2011 Europhys. Lett. **96**, 60006 [arXiv:1106.1025 [physics.gen-ph]]
[40] Momeni D and Setare M R ,2011 Mod. Phys. Lett. A **26**, 2889 [arXiv:1106.0431 [physics.gen-ph]]
[41] Momeni D , Setare M R and Majd N ,2011 JHEP **1105**, 118 [arXiv:1003.0376 [hep-th]]
[42] Cai R -G , Li L , Zhang H -Q and Zhang Y -L ,2011 Phys. Rev. D **84**, 126008 [arXiv:1109.5885 [hep-th]]
[43] Wu J -P ,2010 arXiv:1006.0456 [hep-th]
[44] Ge X -H , Wang B , Wu S -F and G -H Yang,2010 JHEP **1008**, 108 [arXiv:1002.4901 [hep-th]]
[45] Domenech O , Montull M , Pomarol A , Salvio A, Silva P J ,2010 JHEP 1008:033,,arXiv:1005.1776.
[46] Montull M , Pujolas O , Salvio A and Silva P J , 2011 Phys. Rev. Lett. **107**, 181601 [arXiv:1105.5392 [hep-th]]
[47] Montull M , Pujolas O , Salvio A and Silva P J ,2012 JHEP **1204**, 135 [arXiv:1202.0006 [hep-th]]
[48] Salvio A , 2012 JHEP **1209**, 134 [arXiv:1207.3800 [hep-th]]
[49] Zeng H , Fan Z, Ren Z,2009 Phys. Rev. D **80**, 066001 [arXiv:0906.2323 [hep-th]]
[50] Romans L J , 1992 Nucl. Phys. B 383, 395 [arXiv:hep-th/9203018]
[51] Gubser S S ,2008 , arXiv:0801.2977 [hep-th]
[52] Hartnoll S A , Herzog C P and Horowitz G T ,2008 arXiv:0803.3295 [hep-th]
[53] Yin L , Hou D and Ren H. -c ,2013 arXiv:1311.3847 [hep-th]
[54] Gregory R, Kanno S , and Soda J, 2009 J. High Energy Phys. **10**, 010
[55] Ge X -H and Leng H -Q ,2012 Prog. Theor. Phys. **128**, 1211 [arXiv:1105.4333 [hep-th]]
[56] Cui S -l and Xue Z ,2013 arXiv:1306.2013 [hep-th]
[57] Zhao Z , Pan Q and Jing J ,2013 arXiv:1311.6260 [hep-th]
[58] Roychowdhury D ,2012 Phys. Rev. D **86**, 106009 [arXiv:1211.0904 [hep-th]]
[59] Bekenstein J D , 1981 Phys. Rev. D **23**, 287
[60] Nakano E, Wen W Y , 2008 Phys. Rev. D **78**, 046004
[61] Momeni D , Nakano E, Setare M R , Wen W-Y, 2013 Int. J. Mod. Phys. A 28, 8 1350024,[arXiv:1108.4340]
[62] Ehrenfest P,1934 Comm. Phys. Lab. Leiden,suppl.75b
[63] Rutgers N, 1934 Physica 1,1055